

**Alberta Provincial
Achievement Testing**

**Assessment
Highlights
2016–2017**

**GRADE
9**

**Knowledge and Employability
English Language Arts**

Alberta  Government

This document was written primarily for:

Students	
Teachers	✓ of KE English Language Arts
Administrators	
Parents	
General Audience	
Others	

For further information, contact

Ray Shapka, Senior Manager, at
Ray.Shapka@gov.ab.ca

Nicole Lamarre, Director, Student Learning Assessments and Provincial Achievement Testing, at
Nicole.Lamarre@gov.ab.ca, or

Provincial Assessment Sector: 780-427-0010
Toll-free within Alberta: 310-0000.

The [Alberta Education website](http://education.alberta.ca) is found at education.alberta.ca.

Copyright 2017, the Crown in Right of Alberta, as represented by the Minister of Education, Alberta Education, Provincial Assessment Sector, 44 Capital Boulevard, 10044 108 Street NW, Edmonton, Alberta T5J 5E6, and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Special permission is granted to **Alberta educators only** to reproduce, for educational purposes and on a non-profit basis, parts of this document that do **not** contain excerpted material.

Contents

The 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test.....	1
Part A: Writing —2017 Test Blueprint.....	2
Part A: Writing —2017 Student Achievement	3
Part A: Writing —Commentary on 2017 Student Achievement	4
Part B: Reading —2017 Test Blueprint and Student Achievement.....	6
Part B: Reading —Commentary on 2017 Student Achievement.....	7
Achievement Testing Program Support Documents.....	10

The 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test

This report provides teachers, school administrators, and the public with an overview of the performance of those students who wrote the 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test. It complements the detailed school and jurisdiction reports.

How Many Students Wrote the Test?

A total of 1 138 students wrote both parts of the 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test.

What Was the Test Like?



The 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test had two parts:


Part A: Writing consisted of a Persuasive Essay-Writing Assignment worth 35 marks (35% of the total mark). The Persuasive Essay-Writing Assignment provided students with a context (situation) and a collection of materials that students could use if they wanted to.


Part B: Reading consisted of 50 multiple-choice questions worth 65 marks (65% of the total mark). The reading selections were from fiction, non-fiction, drama, poetry, and visual media.

How Well Did Students Do?

The percentages of students meeting the acceptable standard and the standard of excellence in 2017 are shown in the graphs below. Out of a total possible score of 100 (parts A and B), the provincial average was 62%. The results represented in this report are based on scores achieved by all students who wrote the test, including those in French Immersion and Francophone programs.



 2016 Achievement Standards: The percentage of students in the province who met the acceptable standard and the standard of excellence on the 2016 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test (based on those who wrote).

 2017 Achievement Standards: The percentage of students in the province who met the acceptable standard and the standard of excellence on the 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test (based on those who wrote).

Part A: Writing—2017 Test Blueprint

The blueprint for *Part A: Writing* identifies the scoring/reporting categories by which student writing is assessed and by which 2017 summary data are reported to schools and school authorities; it also provides a description of the writing assignments and the achievement standards.

<p>Description of Writing Assignment</p>	<p>The writing assignment requires students to respond to a prompt that provides a given context (situation) and a collection of materials that students may use, if they wish. These materials may include graphics, quotations, and short literary excerpts. Students may use ideas from previous experience and/or reading. Students are to respond by writing a persuasive essay.</p>
<p>Reporting Category</p>	<p>Content* (selecting ideas and details to achieve a purpose) Students respond to a given topic by writing an essay. Students establish their purpose, select ideas and supporting details to achieve the purpose, and communicate in a manner appropriate to their audience.</p> <p>Organization* (organizing ideas and details into a coherent whole) Students organize their ideas to produce a unified and coherent essay that links details, sentences, and paragraphs, and that supports the purpose.</p> <p>Sentence Structure (structuring sentences effectively) Students control sentence structure and use a variety of sentence types, sentence beginnings, and sentence lengths to enhance communication.</p> <p>Vocabulary (selecting and using words and expressions correctly and effectively) Students choose specific words and expressions that are appropriate for their audience and effective in establishing a voice/tone that will help to achieve their purpose.</p> <p>Conventions (using the conventions of written language correctly and effectively) Students use conventions accurately and effectively to communicate.</p>
<p>Standards</p>	<p>Student achievement in each reporting category will be described according to the following descriptors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excellent Proficient Satisfactory Limited Poor INS (insufficient)

**Note: Content and Organization are weighted to be worth twice as much as the other categories.*

Part A: Writing—2017 Student Achievement

In 2017, 73.7% of students who wrote the test achieved the acceptable standard on *Part A: Writing* of the Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test, and 4.7% of students who wrote achieved the standard of excellence.

Student Achievement by Assignment and Reporting Category

The chart below illustrates the percentage of students achieving writing standards for each writing assignment and reporting category.

		Essay-Writing Assignment				
		Reporting Category				
		Content	Organization	Sentence Structure	Vocabulary	Conventions
Writing Standard	Score*	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students	Percentage of Students
Excellent	5.0	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%	1.6%	0.9%
	4.5	0.7%	1.7%	1.1%	0.9%	0.9%
Proficient	4.0	6.4%	8.2%	6.3%	7.3%	6.0%
	3.5	14.1%	13.2%	8.5%	13.3%	7.4%
Satisfactory	3.0	34.9%	38.0%	41.2%	51.6%	35.0%
	2.5	17.8%	16.3%	18.2%	12.5%	22.0%
	2.0	16.8%	14.2%	17.4%	8.9%	19.1%
Limited	1.5	4.0%	3.2%	2.8%	1.5%	4.1%
	1.0	2.9%	2.5%	2.1%	0.8%	2.9%
Poor	0	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%
Insufficient / No Response						

* Scores of 4.5, 3.5, 2.5, and 1.5 occur only when local marks and central marks are averaged.

Part A: Writing—*Commentary on 2017 Student Achievement*

During the 2017 scoring session, teachers from throughout the province scored 1 138 student test booklets. Teachers who marked the tests were generally pleased with the quality of most papers. Students who wrote *Part A: Writing* of the 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test achieved an average of 19.6 out of a raw score of 35. The provincial average on the Essay-writing Assignment was approximately 56.0%.

Essay-writing Assignment

In the 2017 Essay-writing Assignment, students were required to respond to a prompt that provided a context and a collection of materials that students could use, if they wished. Students were to respond in the form of a persuasive essay. The wording of the assignment in posing the question “Where do you stand on the issue of students being given more power to select the subjects they want to study?” and inviting students to “Write a persuasive essay to present to the principal of Carely School that clearly states your point of view about giving students greater power in selecting school subjects” encouraged students to bring their knowledge and experiences into their writing.

Training for the marking session always emphasizes the need to look at the strengths of the writer and to mark only what is written. When marking, markers conscientiously examined the “Focus” section of the scoring categories to orient themselves to distinctions within the scoring criteria as well as the various scoring descriptors in each scoring scale in order to arrive at judgments regarding the qualities of a response. Markers conscientiously used their exemplar documents to support the scoring criteria in distinguishing among scoring categories. Each response is to be reviewed according to each category in the scoring criteria. Every effort is made to score each response in a valid and reliable manner.

Students responded to the prompt bringing their prior knowledge and experience into their writing. Teachers who took part in the marking session noted that many students were adept at listing the pros and cons related to the issue and the use of background knowledge added to the content of the writing. They also noted that generally, the writing demonstrated clear introductions and conclusions, which tended to strengthen the writing.

The following excerpts contain examples from responses that achieved “Satisfactory” scores:

- “Some students don’t like how we don’t have a say in what courses we get.”
- “The students’ parents might be totally against that and want them to be in something else more use full later on in life like things for post-secondary or other reasons.”
- “There are some cons of having students pick their class this year. The first and probable the most important is that they might pick a class that their friend is in.”

In responses such as those from which these excerpts were taken, students explored the topic in a clear and plausible manner. The writer’s point of view was evident and, for the most part, students approached the topic from one side of the issue. The ideas and details provided were appropriate, and supporting details tended to be relevant, but general in nature. At times, additional supporting details would have enhanced the writing. The introduction was functional and a focus was generally maintained. The conclusion was related to the focus. Ideas were developed in a discernible order. However, coherence tended to falter at times. Transitions tended to be mechanical and generally connected ideas within sentences but less so between paragraphs. Also evident in such student responses was sentence structure that was generally controlled. Sentence type and sentence length were sometimes varied, and there was some variety of sentence beginnings. Words and expressions were generally used appropriately. The tone or voice created by the writer was evident, and it was noted that the topic engaged the students. Generally correct use of conventions was seen, although errors occasionally reduced the clarity and flow of the response.

The following excerpts contain examples from responses that achieved “Proficient” or “Excellent” scores.

- “Personal interest is important to everyone, so allowing students to work on what they are interested in will most likely end up in better educational results.”
- “Being able to choose your own courses gives you the chance to do what you love, focus on your career in the future, and be responsible.”
- “As a student attending Carely school for grade 10 I think we as students should be able to choose what courses and what subjects we would like to take because it gives as an opportunity to focus on our strongest suits and to focus on our future.”

In responses such as those from which these excerpts were taken, students demonstrated work in which the exploration of the topic was adept and/or logical or insightful and/or discerning. The introductions were purposeful or engaging, and the conclusions reinforced or enhanced the focus. Sentence structure was consistently controlled and sentence type, length, and beginnings were consistently varied. Words and expressions were well-chosen and supported or enhanced the writer’s position. Few errors in conventions were evident, and any errors that were present rarely reduced the clarity and flow of the response.

Part B: Reading—2017 Test Blueprint and Student Achievement

In 2017, 73.4% of students who wrote the test achieved the acceptable standard on *Part B: Reading* of the Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test, and 20.7% of students achieved the standard of excellence.

Student achievement on *Part B: Reading* of the 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test averaged 32.6 out of 50 (approximately 65.2%).

The blueprint below shows the reporting categories and language functions by which 2017 summary data are reported to schools and school authorities, and it shows the provincial average of student achievement by both raw score and percentage.

Reporting Category	Language Function		Provincial Student Achievement (Average Raw Score and Percentage)
	Informational	Narrative / Poetic	
Identifying and Interpreting Ideas and Details Students construct meaning by recognizing explicit or implicit ideas and details and make inferences about the relationships between ideas, details, and events.			10.7/16 (66.9%)
Interpreting Text Organization Students identify and analyze the author’s use of genre. Students identify and analyze the author’s choice of form, text features, organizational structure, style, literary techniques, and conventions.			5.0/8 (62.5%)
Associating Meaning Students use contextual clues to determine the denotative and connotative meaning of words, phrases, and figurative language (e.g., simile, metaphor, hyperbole, idioms, irony, symbolism).			5.5/8 (68.7%)
Synthesizing Ideas Students draw conclusions and make generalizations by integrating information in order to identify the tone, purpose, theme, main idea, point of view, or mood of a passage.			11.4/18 (63.3%)
Provincial Student Achievement (Average Raw Score and Percentage)	17.4/26 (66.9%)	15.2/24 (63.3%)	Part B: Reading Total Test Raw Score = 32.6/50 (65.2%)

Part B: Reading—*Commentary on 2017 Student Achievement*

The following is a discussion of student achievement on *Part B: Reading* of the 2017 Grade 9 Knowledge and Employability English Language Arts Achievement Test. Sample questions are provided to highlight the levels of achievement of students who met the acceptable standard, students who met the standard of excellence, and students who did not meet the acceptable standard.

Students were presented with a variety of Informational and Narrative/Poetic texts, which included an excerpt from a book, a short informational article, a job profile, a cartoon, a biography, and a poem.

In the content area of **Identifying and Interpreting Ideas and Details**, students were expected to construct meaning by recognizing explicit or implicit ideas and details and making inferences about the relationships between these ideas and details.

Multiple-choice question 45 required students to locate a key detail in an article.

45. According to the article, which of the following factors brings Canadians together?
- A. Politics
 - B. Hockey
 - C. Weather
 - D. Geography

3.6% of the students chose A

88.6% of the students chose B (correct answer)

3.8% of the students chose C

3.9% of the students chose D

Approximately 95.1% of students who met the acceptable standard were able to answer this question correctly, whereas about 98.8% of students who met the standard of excellence chose the correct response.

In the content area of **Interpreting Text Organization**, students were expected to identify and analyze the author’s use of genre. Students identified and analyzed the author’s choice of form, organizational structure, style, literary techniques, text features, and conventions.

Multiple-choice question 2 required students to recognize the purpose and use of text (capitals) in an informational article.

2. The phrase “LOOK OUT!” (line 7) is written in capital letters in order to
- A. emphasize how the words are spoken
 - B. make the words easier to read
 - C. replace an exclamation mark
 - D. develop indirect speech

74.4% of the students chose A (correct answer)

2.6% of the students chose B

7.2% of the students chose C

15.6% of the students chose D

Approximately 81.3% of students who met the acceptable standard were able to answer this question correctly, whereas about 90.5% of students who met the standard of excellence chose the correct response.

In the content area of **Associating Meaning**, students were expected to use contextual clues to determine the connotative and denotative meanings of words, phrases, and figurative language.

Multiple-choice question 44 required students to identify the meaning of a word in context in an article.

44. The word “fervour” (line 22) means intense
- A. anger
 - B. passion
 - C. curiosity
 - D. happiness

8.3% of the students chose A

68.3% of the students chose B (correct answer)

11.7% of the students chose C

11.6% of the students chose D

Approximately 75.2% of students who met the acceptable standard were able to answer this question correctly, whereas about 96.4% of students who met the standard of excellence chose the correct response.

In the content area of **Synthesizing Meaning**, students were expected to make generalizations by integrating information from a selection in order to identify the purpose, theme, main message, point of view, or mood of the selection.

Multiple-choice question 4 required students to integrate information to make an inference about an individual's character in an informational article.

4. The sentence “He missed hundreds of games, but whenever he came back he somehow played better than ever” (lines 20 to 21) **best** supports the idea that Richard was
- A. intelligent and relentless
 - B. competitive and determined
 - C. reliable and likeable
 - D. energetic and fun

7.1 % of the students chose A

68.4 % of the students chose B (correct answer)

6.2 % of the students chose C

18.4 % of the students chose D

Approximately 76.4% of students who met the acceptable standard were able to answer this question correctly, whereas about 90.5% of students who met the standard of excellence chose the correct response.

Achievement Testing Program Support Documents

The Alberta Education website contains several documents that provide valuable information about various aspects of the achievement testing program. To access these documents, go to the [Alberta Education website](#). Click on one of the specific links to access the following documents.

Achievement Testing Program General Information Bulletin

The [General Information Bulletin](#) is a compilation of several documents produced by Alberta Education and is intended to provide superintendents, principals, and teachers with easy access to information about all aspects of the achievement testing program. Sections in the bulletin contain information pertaining to schedules and significant dates; security and test rules; test administration directives, guidelines, and procedures; calculator and computer policies; test accommodations; test marking and results; field testing; resources and web documents; forms and samples; and Provincial Assessment Sector contacts.

Subject Bulletins

At the beginning of each school year, subject bulletins are posted on the Alberta Education website for all achievement test subjects for grades 6 and 9. Each bulletin provides descriptions of assessment standards, test design and blueprinting, and scoring guides (where applicable) as well as suggestions for preparing students to write the tests and information about how teachers can participate in test development activities.

Examples of the Standards for Students' Writing

For achievement tests in grades 6 and 9 English Language Arts and Français/French Language Arts, writing samples are designed for teachers and students to enhance students' writing and to assess this writing relative to the standards inherent in the scoring guides for the achievement tests. The exemplars documents contain sample responses with scoring rationales that relate student work to the scoring categories and scoring criteria.

Previous Achievement Tests and Answer Keys

All January achievement tests (parts A and B) for Grade 9 semestered students are secured and must be returned to Alberta Education. All May/June achievement tests are secured except Part A of grades 6 and 9 English Language Arts and Français/French Language Arts. Unused or extra copies of only these Part A tests may be kept at the school after administration. Teachers may also use the released items and/or tests that are posted on the Alberta Education website.

Parent Guides

Each school year, versions of the [Alberta Provincial Achievement Testing Parent Guide](#) for grades 6 and 9 are posted on the Alberta Education website. Each guide answers frequently asked questions about the achievement testing program and provides descriptions of and sample questions for each achievement test subject.

Involvement of Teachers

Teachers of grades 6 and 9 are encouraged to take part in activities related to the achievement testing program. These activities include item development, test validation, field testing, and marking. In addition, arrangements can be made through the Alberta Regional Professional Development Consortia for teacher in-service workshops on topics such as interpreting achievement test results to improve student learning.